

FÉDÉRATION INTERNATIONALE DE PHILATÉLIE
Commission Thématique

BUREAU MEETING IN BERLIN, 2 & 3 MARCH 1996

Present : Hans-Walter Bosserhoff, Gunnar Dahlvig, José Antonio Hernan, Bernard Jimenez, Giancarlo Morolli, Francesca Rapkin ; guests : Dieter Jaretzki, Joachim Maas, Han Stem.

Excused : René-Roger Berberat, Manfred Bergman, Nestor Ferré, Betty Van Tenac.

Giancarlo Morolli thanked Hans-Walter Bosserhoff, the Philatelic Society of Berlin and the BDPH for their support, for the organisation of the meeting and the very friendly hospitality. Dieter Jaretzky presented the good wishes and the greetings of the President of the BDPH, who was not able to attend due to a concurrent event of the Federation.

SREV & Guidelines

Current text - Inconsistencies and issues

Giancarlo Morolli presented a document merging the GREV, SREV and Guidelines in the logical sequence of the GREV. That was the basis for a thorough review of inconsistencies and duplication among the three documents. As a result of this discussion a new text for Guidelines was prepared. In order to make it shorter and take the emphasis out of presentation, the text with the recommendations on this subject has been moved to separate document, which is only an attachment to the Guidelines. This text will be submitted to the FIP Board by the end of April 1996.

Proposal from Hans-Walter Bosserhoff

As agreed in Lausanne, Hans-Walter Bosserhoff prepared a new document based on the current Guidelines, capitalising on his long-standing professional experience in defining regulations and making them understandable to the public. After Francesca Rapkin's translation, the text was briefly reviewed and Hans-Walter Bossehoff charged with the further elaboration of the same. This document will be treated as a publication of the Thematic Commission and, if well received, proposed to FIP for further consideration also in other classes.

The time table is as follows

- Refined document ready for English translation 10/96
- Translation circulated to Bureau members 2/97
- Review in Bureau Meeting 4/97
- Presentation to delegates 6/97 (Pacific 97)
9/97 (Moscow 97)

Modifications to SREV

3.2.1 *The plan defines the structure of the collection and of the exhibit, and its subdivision into parts. It has to be correct, logical and balanced, and cover all major aspects related to the title.*

Furthermore, after the discussion in Lausanne, it was decided to give Development 25 points (as before 1995) and to allocate the 5 points previously used for size to the criterion of **Relevance of the Exhibit**, defined as follows :

The evaluation of relevance will take into account either

- *the successful development of thematic aspects, or*
- *the successful inclusion of a meaningful philatelic analysis related to important thematic aspects.*

Giancarlo Morolli was charged with reviewing the SREV and Guidelines in order to implement in detail this decision.

Detailed criteria

Giancarlo Morolli made clear that the document presented by Hans-Walter Bosserhoff was not intended for general use, but as a tool to guide juror's education and to converge towards a common decision for difficult situation during judging at the exhibition. It shall be reviewed later on, when the above documents have been defined.

Evaluation Form

Giancarlo Morolli will receive forms currently used at exhibition in the different countries and ask Hans-Walter Bosserhoff and Han Siem to draft a document that could be tested by Giancarlo Morolli and Francesca Rapkin at CAPEX 96 and then at Olymphilix 96 and Istanbul 96. It shall be presented at the Commission's meeting in Istanbul and then made available to all Federations.

Education Programme

José Antonio Hernan presented the draft in Spanish of a publication devoted to collectors interested in thematic philately. Giancarlo Morolli shall review it and circulated the summary to the members of the Bureau for comments.

Gunnar Dahlvig presented the programme for the education of national and international jurors. It was agreed to add a list of organisation requirements and to offer the programme, based on the education material of the Thematic Commission, to all Federations. In particular Gunnar Dahlvig should present it in Lisse at the coming FEPA meeting and then Giancarlo Morolli offer it to the FIP Congress.

